

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form -- faxed to: 571. 270. 6264

In re the Application of

Naohiro YOSHIDA

Group Art Unit: 1727

Application No.: 10/586,792

Examiner: K. HAN

Filed: July 20, 2006

Docket No.: 128727

For: FUEL CELL SYSTEM WITH PRESSURE REGULATOR AND METHOD FOR DRIVING SAME

Tentative Participants:

(1) Prashant Praveen (2) Kwang Han
 (3) Michelle Windom (4) _____

PM

Confirmed Date of Interview: 10/4/2011 **Proposed Time:** 1:00 (AM/PM)**Type of Interview Requested:**

(1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej. §112, 2nd paragraph</u>	<u>Claims 9, 24</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>Rej. §103(a)</u>	<u>Claims 9-22, 24</u>	<u>Kazuo, Iio, and Margiotti</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

 Continuation Sheet Attached**Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:**

With respect to the rejection under §112, second paragraph, support for Claims 9 and 24 can be found at, for example, page 5, line 32 - page 8, line 23. The applied references fail to disclose and would not have rendered obvious "the drive means consuming the power generated by the fuel cell and forcibly circulating the fuel gas in the circulation route," as recited in claim 9 and "the drive pump consuming the power generated by the fuel cell and forcibly circulating the fuel gas in the circulation route," as recited in claim 24. Further, the combination of Kazuo and Margiotti is improper.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This form is signed by a registered practitioner, and the Office should accept this as an indication that he or she is authorized to conduct an interview on behalf of the principal (37 CFR 1.32(a)(3)) pursuant to 37 CFR 1.34. This is not a power of attorney to any above named practitioner.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)